

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/782,792	02/14/2001	Keiji Sakata	36856.449	9594
7	590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
Keating & Bennett LLP			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
Suite 312 10400 Eaton P	lace		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fairfax, VA			2832	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		SAKATA, KEIJI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	TUYEN T NGUYEN	2832	<u></u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Sec</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	•	

Application/Control Number: 09/782,792

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meadors et al. [US 6,246,205] in view of Tashiro et al. [US 5,515,022].

Meadors et al. discloses a multilayer inductor (20) comprising:

- a plurality of stacked magnetic layers (32a.-32d);
- through-holes (see figure 2) formed in the stacked magnetic layers;
- a plurality of coil conductor patterns (36, 38, 52 and 56);
- non-magnetic element (70) disposed in the vicinity of the coil conductor patterns in at least one of the magnetic layers;
- external electrodes (24, 26) being provided at end surfaces of the multilayer inductor; and
 - lead-out portions (40, 57) which are connected to the external electrodes.

Meadors et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for the area of a projected plane of a circuit of each coil conductor pattern on a main surface of respective ones of the plurality of magnetic layers is in a range from about 35% to about 75% of the area of the main surface of the respective ones of the plurality of magnetic layers.

Tashiro et al. discloses a multilayered inductor (figure 3) comprising:

- a plurality of stacked magnetic layers (21, 22, 23);

- a plurality of coil conductor patterns (31, 32), wherein the area of a projected plane of a

circuit of each coil conductor pattern on a main surface of respective ones of the plurality of

magnetic layers is in a range from about 35% to about 75% of the area of the main surface of the

respective ones of the plurality of magnetic layers (figure 3); and

- via connector (35) connecting the plurality of coil conductor patterns together.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the

invention was made to use the conductor pattern design of Tashiro et al. in Meadors et al. for the

purpose of improving usage of the area of the conductive material and minimizing leakage

inductance.

Regarding claim 5, the specific shape of the magnetic layers would have been an obvious

design consideration based on the intended application use.

Regarding claim 7, Meadors et al, discloses an air gap (space) or cavity disposed inside

one of the plurality of coil conductor patterns (figure 2)

Regarding claims 6 and 8-10, the specific shape and width of the conductor pattern would

have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of facilitating manufacturing and

enhancing the inductance.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,792

Art Unit: 2832

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN TTN

Tengen T. Nguyen

Page 4